arXiv:1210.4793v1 [math.FA] 17 Oct 2012

Essential norm of Toeplitz operators and Hankel operators on the weighted Bergman space

Fengying Li*

Abstract. In this paper, we show that on the weighted Bergman space of the unit disk the essential norm of a noncompact Hankel operator equals its distance to the set of compact Hankel operators and is realized by infinitely many compact Hankel operators, which is analogous to the theorem of Axler, Berg, Jewell and Shields on the Hardy space in [4]; moreover, the distance is realized by infinitely many compact Hankel operators with symbols continuous on the closure of the unit disk and vanishing on the unit circle.

Mathematics Subject Classification(2010). 11K70, 46-XX, 47S10, 97I80.

Keywords. Hankel operators, Toeplitz operators, essential norm, weighted Bergman space.

1 Introduction

Let D be the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Let $L^\infty(D)$ denote the space of bounded measurable functions on the unit disk D, and let $H^\infty(D)$ denote its subalgebra of bounded analytic functions. We write dA to denote the normalized Lebesgue area measure on the unit disk D. For $\alpha > -1$, $L^2(D, dA_\alpha)$ consists of all function f on D such that

$$\|f\|_{2,\alpha}^2 = \int_D |f(z)|^2 dA_\alpha(z) < \infty$$

where $dA_\alpha(z) = (1+\alpha)(1-|z|^2)^\alpha dA(z)$, it easy to see that $\int_D dA_\alpha(z) = 1$.

For $\alpha > -1$ we define the *weighted Bergman space*

$$A_\alpha^2(D) = H(D) \cap L^2(D, dA_\alpha)$$

Supported by China Scholarship Council

where $H(D)$ is the space of analytic functions on D. When $\alpha = 0$ we recover the standard definition of the Bergman space.

The *Toeplitz operator* T_φ with symbol $\varphi \in L^\infty(D)$ on the weighted Bergman spaces is defined by

$$T_\varphi f(z) = P_\alpha(\varphi f)(z) = \int_D K_\alpha(z,w) f(w)\varphi(w) dA_\alpha(w) \ \ \forall f \in A^2_\alpha(D)$$

where $K_\alpha(z,w) = \frac{1}{(1-z\overline{w})^{2+\alpha}}$ is the *reproducing kernel* and P_α is the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D, dA_\alpha)$ onto $A^2_\alpha(D)$. Theorem 4.24 in [16] guarantees P_α is bounded operator on the weighted Bergman space.

The *Hankel operator* H_φ with symbol $\varphi \in L^\infty(D)$ on the weighted Bergman space is defined by

$$H_\varphi f = (I - P_\alpha)(\varphi f) \ \ \forall f \in A^2_\alpha(D)$$

where $I - P_\alpha$ is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(D, dA_\alpha)$ onto $(A^2_\alpha(D))^\perp$.

Let $\mathcal{K}(D)$ denote the space of compact operators on D. The *essential norm* of an operator T is defined by

$$\|T\|_e = inf\{\|T - K\| : K \in \mathcal{K}(D)\};$$

i.e., the distance T to the space of compact operators.

In order to address an approximation problem in $L^\infty(\partial D)$ where ∂D is the boundary of unit disk D ([1], [12]), Axler, Berg, Jewell and Shields [4] obtained the following beautiful result for the Hardy space $H^2(\partial D)$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let H_f be a noncompact Hankel operator on Hardy space $H^2(\partial D)$. Then there exist infinitely many different compact Hankel operators H_φ such that $\|H_f - H_\varphi\| = \|H_f\|_e$.*

In other words, for a noncompact Hankel operator H_f with symbol $f \in L^\infty(\partial D)$ on Hardy space $H^2(\partial D)$, its distance to the space of compact operators is realized by infinitely many compact Hankel operators. On the Hardy space, a theorem of Nehari [3] states

$$\|H_f\| = dist(f, H^\infty).$$

It is also true that $\|H_f\|_e = dist(f, H^\infty + C(\partial D))$.

For Hankel (Toeplitz) operators on unweighted Bergman space, it is known the essential norm is realized by some compact operators([7],[8]), and in [15], the essential norm was estimated by

$$\overline{\lim_{|z|\to 1}}\|f\circ\varphi_z - P(f\circ\varphi_z)\|_2 \le \|H_f\|_e \le C\overline{\lim_{|z|\to 1}}\|f\circ\varphi_z - P(f\circ\varphi_z)\|_2^{1/10}$$

where $\varphi_z(w) = \frac{z-w}{1-\bar{z}w}$ and C is a constant. The estimation of the Teoplitz operator T_f is

$$\overline{\lim_{|z|\to 1}}\|P(f\circ\varphi_z)\|_2 \le \|T_f\|_e \le C\overline{\lim_{|z|\to 1}}\|P(f\circ\varphi_z)\|_2^{1/10}.$$

A natural question is whether Theorem 1.1 can be extended to the case of Hankel (Toeplitz) operators on the weighted Bergman space.

Indeed, we shall prove that the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 holds for noncompact Hankel operators H_f and noncompact Toeplitz operators T_f, with symbol $f \in L^\infty(D)$; moreover, the symbols φ of the approximation operators H_φ (T_φ) will actually reside in a better behaved function space, which will guarantee that H_φ, $H_{\overline{\varphi}}$, T_φ, and $T_{\overline{\varphi}}$ are compact.

Let $C_\partial(\overline{D})$ denote the space of continuous functions on the closure $\overline{D}$ of the unit disk and vanishing on the unit circle ∂D. By results in [2], [10], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], we easily see that for each φ in $C_\partial(\overline{D})$, H_φ, $H_{\overline{\varphi}}$, T_φ, and $T_{\overline{\varphi}}$ are compact. The first two theorems are inspired by and analogous to Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $f \in L^\infty(D)$, and H_f the associated noncompact Hankel operator on $A^2_\alpha(D)$. There exist infinitely many distinct compact Hankel operators H_φ with symbol φ in $C_\partial(\overline{D})$ such that*

$$\|H_f - H_\varphi\| = \|H_f\|_e.$$

Theorem 1.3 *Let $f \in L^\infty(D)$, and T_f the associated noncompact Teoplitz operator on $A^2_\alpha(D)$. There exist infinitely many distinct compact Toeplitz operators T_φ with symbol φ in $C_\partial(\overline{D})$ such that $\|T_f - T_\varphi\| = \|T_f\|_e$.*

If f is harmonic on the unit disk, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.4 *Let f be a bounded harmonic function on the unit disk, and H_f the associated noncompact Hankel operator on $A^2_a(D)$. There exist infinitely many distinct harmonic functions φ on the unit disk and continuous on the closure of the unit disk such that*

$$\|H_f - H_\varphi\| = \|H_f\|_e.$$

For the Hardy space, the reproducing kernel is given by $K_z(\xi) = \frac{1}{1-z\bar{\xi}}$ for $z \in D$ and $\xi \in \partial D$ (see Corollary 2.11 in [9]); i.e., for any $f \in H^2(\partial D)$, $f(z) =< f, K_z >$. Since the reproducing kernel for $A^2_\alpha(D)$ is $K_\alpha(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1-z\overline{w})^{2+\alpha}}$ with $\alpha > -1$, it is tempting to consider the Hardy space as a limiting situation for the weighted Bergman spaces as $\alpha \to -1$; for this reason, the Hardy space is often denoted as A^2_{-1}. As a result, we view the theorems in this paper as a generalization in which the theorem of Axler, Berg, Jewell and Shields's appears as a special limiting case.

Recall that a sequence $\{A_n\}$ of bounded linear operators on a Banach space H converges to an operator A in the *strong operator topology* if $\|(A_n - A)f\| \to 0$ for every $f \in H$.

Our proof will make use of the following result which we will record here, and whose proof can be found in [4].

Theorem 1.5 *Let H_1 and H_2 be two Hilbert spaces, and $T : H_1 \to H_2$ a noncompact bounded operator. Let $\{T_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of compact operators from H_1 to H_2 such that $T_n \to T$ and $T_n^* \to T^*$ in the strong operator topology. Then there exist sequences $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ of non-negative real numbers such that $\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n = \sum_{n\geq 1} b_n = 1$ and $\|T - K_1\| = \|T - K_2\| = \|T\|_e$, where $K_1 = \sum_{n\geq 1} a_n T_n$ and $K_2 = \sum_{n\geq 1} b_n T_n$; moreover, $K_1 \neq K_2$.*

2 Proof of Theorems

In order to use Theorem 1.5 to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we need to establish all the conditions in the premise; i.e., there exists a sequence of functions $\psi_n \in C_\partial(\overline{D})$ such that the sequence of compact Hankel(Toeplitz) operators $\{H_{\psi_n}\}(\{T_{\psi_n}\})$ and $\{H^*_{\psi_n}\}(\{T^*_{\psi_n}\})$ respectively converge to $H_f(T_f)$ and $H^*_f(T^*_f)$ in the strong operator topology. We start by approximating $f \in L^\infty(D)$ by continuous functions.

Suppose δ is a positive smooth function on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ such that
(a) δ is compactly supported and identically zero outside of D,

(b) $\int_{\mathbb{C}} \delta(z)dA_\alpha(z) = 1$,
(c) For $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \delta_\varepsilon(z)$ is a Dirac delta function where $\delta_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\delta(\frac{z}{\epsilon})$,
(d) $\int_{|z|>\varepsilon} \delta_\varepsilon(z)dA_\alpha(z) = 0$.
Then δ is called a *mollifier* and $\int_{\mathbb{C}} \delta_\varepsilon(z)dA_\alpha(z) = 1$.

Any $f \in L^\infty(D)$ can be extended to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ by taking it to be zero outside of D. For convenience, we will denote it by the same function and so we can assume $f \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{C})$; thus, $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{C}, dA_\alpha)$ since

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|dA_\alpha(z) = \int_D |f(z)|dA_\alpha(z) \leq \|f\|_\infty < \infty.$$

We can define the convolution

$$\delta_\varepsilon * f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \delta_\varepsilon(z-w)f(w)dA_\alpha(w) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \delta_\varepsilon(w)f(z-w)dA_\alpha(w).$$

For each fixed $z \in D$, the non-trivial domain of integration for $\int_{\mathbb{C}} \delta_\varepsilon(z-w)f(w)dA_\alpha(w)$ is the disk centered at z and of radius ϵ. Note the convolution is still defined for $z \in \partial D$; hence $\delta_\varepsilon * f$ is a mollification of f.

It is well known that
(a) $\delta_\varepsilon * f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}, dA_\alpha)$,
(b) $\delta_\varepsilon * f \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, dA_\alpha)$ and $\|\delta_\varepsilon * f - f\|_{2,\alpha} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.
The reader may wish to consult [5], [6] and [11] for more information.

Note, even if the function $f \in L^\infty(D)$ is zero on the boundary ∂D, the convolution $\delta_\varepsilon * f$ may not be identically zero on ∂D. We will need to modify the convolution to make sure that does not happen.

For a sequence $\{r_n\}$ such that $0 < r_n < 1$ and $z \in D$, we define

$$f_{r_n}(z) = \begin{cases} f(z) & |z| < r_n, \\ 0 & |z| \geq r_n. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

We claim that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the convolution $\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}$ has the following properties:

(a) $\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}(z)$ converges to $\delta_\varepsilon * f(z)$ pointwise as $r_n \to 1$;

(b) If $dist(r_n D, \partial D) > \varepsilon$, the convolution $\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}$ is equal to zero on ∂D.

Proof of this claim:

(a) To see this, for every $z \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} &|\delta_\varepsilon * f(z) - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}(z)| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{C}} \delta_\varepsilon(z-w)|f(w) - f_{r_n}(w)|dA_\alpha(w) \\ &= \int_{|z-w|\leq\epsilon} \delta_\varepsilon(z-w)|f(w) - f_{r_n}(w)|dA_\alpha(w) \\ &+ 2\|f\|_\infty \int_{|z-w|>\epsilon} \delta_\varepsilon(z-w)dA_\alpha(w) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Since $f_{r_n}(z) \to f(z)$ pointwise as $r_n \to 1$ and $\|f_{r_n}\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $\delta_\varepsilon(z-w)f_{r_n}(w) \to \delta_\varepsilon(z-w)f(w)$ pointwise as $r_n \to 1$ and $|\delta_\varepsilon(z-w)f_{r_n}(w)| \leq \delta_\varepsilon(z-w)\|f\|_\infty$. By the dominated convergence theorem, the last equality of (2.2) goes to 0 as $r_n \to 1$.

(b) We'll show that $\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}|\partial D = 0$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}(z)|\partial D \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \delta_\varepsilon(z-w)f_{r_n}(w)dA(w)|\partial D \\ &= \int_{|z-w|<\epsilon} \delta_\varepsilon(z-w)f(w)\chi_{r_nD}(w)dA(w)|\partial D \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where χ_A is the characteristic function of the set A. For $z \in \partial D$, from the assumptions $dist(r_nD, \partial D) > \epsilon$ and $|z-w| < \epsilon$, the domain of the last integration is empty. Thus $\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}(z)|\partial D = 0$ for all $0 < r_n < 1$. Hence $\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n} \in C_\partial(\overline{D})$. This finishes the claim.

For any $f \in L^\infty(D)$, we have a sequence of functions $\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}(z) \in C_\partial(\overline{D})$. In [15] and [16], it was established that $H_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}}$ $(H^*_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})$ and $T_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}}$ $(T^*_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})$ are compact on Bergman space for $0 < r_n < 1$. The next step is to prove convergence in the strong operator topology of these sequences of operators on the weighted Bergman space.

Proof of theorem 1.2. First, we show that $H_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}}$ converges to H_f in the strong operator topology.

It is well known that the subalgebra $H^\infty(D)$ is dense in $A^2_\alpha(D)$, i.e. $\forall \varepsilon_1 > 0$ and for any $g \in A^2_\alpha(D)$, there exists a $g_1 \in H^\infty(D)$ such that $\|g - g_1\|_{2,\alpha} < \varepsilon_1$. Using the Holder inequality, the bounds $\|\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}\|_{2,\alpha} \leq \|f\|_\infty$, and the fact that the orthogonal projection $I - P_\alpha$ is a bounded operator on the weighted Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(D)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(H_f - H_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})g\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&\leq \|(H_f - H_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})(g - g_1)\|_{2,\alpha} + \|(H_f - H_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&= \|(I - P_\alpha)(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})(g - g_1)\|_{2,\alpha} + \|(I - P_\alpha)(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&\leq \|(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})(g - g_1)\|_{2,\alpha} + \|(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&\leq \|f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}\|_{2,\alpha}\|g - g_1\|_{2,\alpha} + \|(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f)g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&+ \|(\delta_\varepsilon * f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon_1\|f\|_\infty + \|f - \delta_\varepsilon * f\|_{2,\alpha}\|g_1\|_\infty + \|(\delta_\varepsilon * f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})g_1\|_{2,\alpha}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

From claim (a), we see that $\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}(z) \to \delta_\varepsilon * f(z)$ pointwise as $r_n \to 1$. For $g_1 \in H^\infty(D)$, we also have $\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}(z)g_1(z) \to \delta_\varepsilon * f(z)g_1(z)$ pointwise. It is easy to see that $|\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}(z)g_1(z)| \leq \|f\|_\infty|g_1(z)|$. By the dominated convergence theorem $\|(\delta_\varepsilon * f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \to 0$ as $r_n \to 1$; thus, $\|(H_f - H_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})g\|_2 \to 0$ as $r_n \to 1$ and $\varepsilon \to 0$ for all $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. We have shown that $H_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}}$ converges to H_f in the strong operator topology.

Next we show that $H^*_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}}$ converges to H^*_f in the strong operator topology.

For any $g \in A^2_\alpha(D)$ and $\forall \varepsilon_1 > 0$, there exists a $g_1 \in H^\infty(D)$ such that $\|g - g_1\|_{2,\alpha} < \varepsilon_1$. Similar to the previous argument,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(H^*_f - H^*_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})g\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&\leq \|(H^*_f - H^*_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})(g - g_1)\|_{2,\alpha} + \|(H^*_f - H^*_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&= \|(I - P_\alpha)^*(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})^*(g - g_1)\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&+ \|(I - P_\alpha)^*(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})^* g_1\|_{,\alpha 2} \\
&\leq \|\overline{(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})}(g - g_1)\|_{2,\alpha} + \|\overline{(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})}g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&\leq \|\overline{f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}}\|_{2,\alpha}\|g - g_1\|_{2,\alpha} + \|\overline{(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f)}g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&+ \|\overline{(\delta_\varepsilon * f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})}g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon_1\|f\|_\infty + \|\overline{(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f)}\|_{2,\alpha}\|g_1\|_\infty + \|\overline{(\delta_\varepsilon * f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})}g_1\|_{2,\alpha}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

For $g_1 \in H^\infty(D)$, we have $\overline{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}}(z)g_1(z) \to \overline{\delta_\varepsilon * f}(z)g_1(z)$ pointwise and $|\overline{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}}(z)g_1(z)| \leq \|f\|_\infty|g_1(z)|$. By the dominated convergence theorem, we have $\|\overline{(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})}g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \to 0$ as $r_n \to 1$. Using the fact that $\|\overline{f - \delta_\varepsilon * f}\|_{2,\alpha} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and for all $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, we see that the last line in (2.5) goes to 0.

From Theorem 1.5, there exist two sequences $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ of non-negative real numbers such that $\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n = \sum_{n\geq 1} b_n = 1$. Let $\varphi_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\psi_n$ and $\varphi_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n\psi_n$ where $\psi_n = \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}$. Since each ψ_n is continuous on $\overline{D}$ and $\psi_n|_{\partial D} = 0$, φ_1 and φ_2 are continuous on $\overline{D}$ and equal to zero on ∂D. This implies H_{ψ_n} is compact for $n \geq 1$. From the formula,

$$H_{\varphi_1} = H_{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\psi_n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n H_{\psi_n}$$

it follows that H_{φ_1} is compact; similarly for H_{φ_2}. Theorem 1.5 guarantees that $H_{\varphi_1} \neq H_{\varphi_2}$.

The two distinct compact Hankel operators H_{φ_1} and H_{φ_2} satisfy $\|H_f - H_{\varphi_1}\| = \|H_f - H_{\varphi_2}\| = \|H_f\|_e$. Let $\varphi = s\varphi_1 + (1-s)\varphi_2$, for $s \in (0,1)$. Hence there exist infinitely many compact Hankel operators H_φ such that $\|H_f - H_\varphi\| = \|H_f\|_e$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We show $T_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}}$ converges to T_f in the strong operator topology.

For any $g \in A^2_\alpha(D)$, and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there exists a $g_1 \in H^\infty(D)$ such that $\|g - g_1\|_{2,\alpha} < \varepsilon_1$. Then using the Holder inequality, $\|\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}\|_{2,\alpha} \leq \|f\|_\infty$ and the boundedness of the orthogonal projection P_α on the weighted Bergman space, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(T_f - T_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})g\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&\leq \|(T_f - T_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})(g - g_1)\|_{2,\alpha} + \|(T_f - T_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&= \|P_\alpha(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})(g - g_1)\|_{2,\alpha} + \|P_\alpha(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&\leq \|f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}\|_{2,\alpha}\|(g - g_1)\|_{2,\alpha} + \|(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon_1\|f\|_\infty + \|(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f)g_1\|_{2,\alpha} + \|(\delta_\varepsilon * f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})g_1\|_{2,\alpha} \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon_1\|f\|_\infty + \|(f - \delta_\varepsilon * f)\|_{2,\alpha}\|g_1\|_\infty + \|(\delta_\varepsilon * f - \delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n})g_1\|_{2,\alpha}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Similar to the argument of theorem 1.2, it can be seen that the last line of equation (2.6) goes to 0. Hence $\|(T_f - T_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}})g\|_{2,\alpha} \to 0$ as $r_n \to 1$, $\varepsilon \to 0$ and for all $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. This finishes the demonstration that $T_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}} \to T_f$ in the strong operator topology.

The reader may show that $T^*_{\delta_\varepsilon * f_{r_n}} \to T^*_f$ in the strong operator topology by an argument like the ones previously given.

From Theorem 1.5, there are two sequences $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ of non-negative real numbers such that $\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n = \sum_{n\geq 1} b_n = 1$. Set $\varphi_1 =$

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\psi_n$ and $\varphi_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n\psi_n$. Since every $\psi_n \in C_\partial(\overline{D})$, we have $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_\partial(\overline{D})$.

Hence T_{φ_1} and T_{φ_2} are compact and they satisfy $\|T_f - T_{\varphi_1}\| = \|T_f - T_{\varphi_2}\| = \|T_g\|_e$. Theorem 1.5 guarantees $T_{\varphi_1} \neq T_{\varphi_2}$. By setting $\varphi = s\varphi_1 + (1-s)\varphi_2$, for $s \in (0,1)$, we produce infinitely many compact Toeplitz operators T_φ such that $\|T_f - T_\varphi\| = \|T_f\|_e$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\hbar(D)$ denote the collection of all bounded harmonic functions on the unit disk D. For $f \in \hbar(D)$, we'll show that there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of functions harmonic on D and continuous on $\overline{D}$ such that the compact Hankel operators $H_{f_n}(H_{f_n}^*)$ converge to $H_f(H_f^*)$ in the strong operator topology.

For $f \in \hbar(D)$ and $z \in D$, let

$$f_n(z) = f(r_n z)$$

where $0 < r_n < 1$ and $r_n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. We have $\triangle f_n(z) = r_n^2 \triangle f(r_n z) = 0$ where $\triangle$ is the Laplace operator; thus f_n is harmonic on D and continuous on $\overline{D}$, and by [16, Sec 8.4], H_{f_n} is compact on the weighted Bergman space. It's not hard to see that $f_n(z)$ converges to $f(z)$ pointwise as $n \to \infty$. By the maximum modulus principle, we have $\|f_n\|_\infty \le \|f\|_\infty$. For $g \in A_\alpha^2(D)$, $|f_n(z)g(z)| \le \|f\|_\infty |g(z)|$ and $f_n(z)g(z)$ pointwise converges to $f(z)g(z)$. We can now apply the dominated convergence theorem to see that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_D |(f_n(z) - f(z))g(z)|^2 dA_\alpha(z) = 0.$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|(H_{f_n} - H_f)g\|_{2,\alpha}^2 &= \|(I-P)(f_n - f)g\|_{2,\alpha}^2 \\ \le \|(f_n - f)g\|_{2,\alpha}^2 &= \int_D |(f_n(w) - f(w))g(w)|^2 dA_\alpha(w) \to 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

which implies $H_{f_n} \to H_f$ in the strong operator topology.

Similarly, $H_{f_n}^* \to H_f^*$ in the strong operator topology.

Let $\{a_n\}_{n\ge1}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n\ge1}$ be two non-negative real valued sequences such that $\sum_{n\ge1} a_n = \sum_{n\ge1} b_n = 1$, and set $\varphi_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n f_n$ and $\varphi_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n f_n$. Since each f_n is harmonic on D and continuous on $\overline{D}$, we have φ_1 and φ_2 are harmonic on D and continuous on $\overline{D}$; thus, H_{φ_1} and H_{φ_2} are compact operators which satisfy $\|H_f - H_{\varphi_1}\| = \|H_f - H_{\varphi_2}\| = \|H_f\|_e$, and are distinct by Theorem 1.5. The proof can be finished in the now standard fashion. ∎

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank Prof. Dechao Zheng for introducing the subject of Hankel and Toplitz operators to me, and for his guidance in all aspects of this project. This research was conducted while I was a visiting student at Vanderbilt University. I would like to thank Vanderbilt University for their hospitality.

References

[1] V. M. Adamjan, D. Z. Arov and M. G. Krein, *Approximation of L^∞ function by means of functions of class $H^\infty + C$*, Invergations in linear operators and thoery of function, 99 unsolved problems in linear and complex analysis, edited by N. K. Nikolskii, V. P. Havin and S. V. Hruscev, Zap. Nauc. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 81 (1978), 190–192.

[2] Sheldon Axler, *Bergman spaces and their operators*, Surveys of Some Recent Results in Operator Theory, vol. 1, edited by John B. Conway and Bernard B. Morrel, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, 1988, 1–50.

[3] S.C.Power, *Hankel operator on Hilbert Space*, Vol.64 of Rsearch Notes in Mathematics, Pitman, Boston, Mass, USA, 1982.

[4] Sheldon Axler, B.I. David, Nicholas Jewell and Allen Shields, *Approximation by compact operators and the space $H^\infty + C$*, Ann. of Math. 109 (1979), 601–612.

[5] John B. Conway, *Functions of One Complex Variable II*, Springer-Verlag 1995.

[6] Emmanuele DiBenedetto , *Real analysis*, Springer-Verlag 2002.

[7] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*, Izdat. ”Nauka”, Moscow (1965); English translation: A. M. S. Translation of Math. Monographs, 18(1969)

[8] Richard Holmes, Bruce Scranton, and Joseph Ward, *Approximation from the space of compact operators and other M-ideals*, Duke Math. J. 42 (1975), 259-269.

[9] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.

[10] G. McDonald and C. Sundberg, *Toeplitz operators on the disc*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 28 (1979), no. 4, 595C611.

[11] J.M. Morel and B. Teissier, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*, Springer-Verlag 2011.

[12] D. Sarason, Spaces of analytic functions (Sem. Functional Anal. Function Theory, Kristiansand, 1975), pp. 117–130, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 512, Springer, Berlin, 1976.

[13] Karel Stroethoff , *Compact Hankel operators on the Bergman spaces of the unit ball and polydisk in* $\mathbf{C}^n$, J. Operator Theory 23 (1990), no. 1, 153C170.

[14] Karel Stroethoff and Dechao Zheng, *Algebraic and spectral properties of dual Toeplitz operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), no. 6, 2495C2520

[15] Dechao Zheng, *Toeplitz operators and Hankel operators*, Integral equations and operator theory 12 (1989), 280–299.

[16] Kehe Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces, Second edition*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 138(2007).

[17] Kehe Zhu, *VMO, ESV, and Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space*, T.A.M.S. V302. N2. August 1987.

Fengying Li
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SICHUAN UNIVERSITY
CHENGDU, SICHUAN 610064, CHINA

e-mail: `lify0308@163.com`